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**Judging Criteria for RISE presentations**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Score (1-10)** | **Comments** |
| **Background** |
| 1. Sufficient background information was presented for understanding the study and why this work is important
 |  |  |
| **Research Design and methods** |  |  |
| 1. Research question was well defined
 |  |  |
| 1. Methods utilised were clearly explained, including the following:
* Study design
* Sample size
* Recruitment strategy
* Inclusion and exclusion criteria
 |  |
| **Strengths and Limitations** |  |  |
| 1. Strengths and limitations of methods chosen for this study were clearly described and the presenter suggested ways to overcome these limitations
 |  |  |
| **Ethical issues** |  |  |
| 1. Ethical issues specific to the study were addressed
 |  |  |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| 1. The slides did not overwhelm viewer; information was concise and logically organised, and graphics were effective
 |  |  |
| 1. Presenter spoke clearly, maintained regular eye contact and held the audience’s attention
 |  |
| **Response/discussion** |  |  |
| 1. Presenter directly and sufficiently answered questions and understood limitations
 |  |  |
| **Total score** | **/80** |  |